

# **XtraCare Styling Mousse**

# JTC Import Export Pty Ltd

Chemwatch: **5390-33** Version No: **2.1.1.1** 

Safety Data Sheet according to WHS and ADG requirements

#### Chemwatch Hazard Alert Code: 2

Issue Date: **03/02/2020** Print Date: **13/02/2020** L.GHS.AUS.EN

# SECTION 1 IDENTIFICATION OF THE SUBSTANCE / MIXTURE AND OF THE COMPANY / UNDERTAKING

#### **Product Identifier**

Product name	XtraCare Styling Mousse
Synonyms	Product code: 41315
Proper shipping name	AEROSOLS
Other means of identification	Not Available

# Relevant identified uses of the substance or mixture and uses advised against

Relevant identified uses

Hair styling product- Mousse.

SDS are intended for use in the workplace. For domestic-use products, refer to consumer labels.

# Details of the supplier of the safety data sheet

Registered company name	JTC Import Export Pty Ltd	
Address	South Park Drive Dandenong South VIC 3175 Australia	
Telephone	+61 3 9532 5100	
Fax	+61 3 9532 6102	
Website	http://www.jtcimportexport.com.au	
Email	sales@jtcimportexport.com.au	

# Emergency telephone number

Association / Organisation	JTC Import Export Pty Ltd	
Emergency telephone numbers	1 3 9532 5100 (Mon-Thurs 8.30am to 5.30pm; Friday 8.30am to 3pm)	
Other emergency telephone numbers	Not Available	

# **SECTION 2 HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION**

# Classification of the substance or mixture

Poisons Schedule	Not Applicable	
Classification [1]	Skin Corrosion/Irritation Category 2, Eye Irritation Category 2A, Acute Aquatic Hazard Category 2	
Legend:	1. Classified by Chemwatch; 2. Classification drawn from HCIS; 3. Classification drawn from Regulation (EU) No 1272/2008 - Annex VI	

# Label elements

Hazard pictogram(s)



SIGNAL WORD	WARNING

#### Hazard statement(s

nazaru statement(s)	
H315	Causes skin irritation.
H319	Causes serious eye irritation.
H401	Toxic to aquatic life.
AUH044	Risk of explosion if heated under confinement.

# Precautionary statement(s) Prevention

P273	Avoid release to the environment.	
P280	Wear protective gloves/protective clothing/eye protection/face protection.	

Chemwatch: **5390-33**Version No: **2.1.1.1** 

Page 2 of 13

**XtraCare Styling Mousse** 

Issue Date: **03/02/2020**Print Date: **13/02/2020** 

# Precautionary statement(s) Response

P321	Specific treatment (see advice on this label).	
P362	ake off contaminated clothing and wash before reuse.	
P305+P351+P338	F IN EYES: Rinse cautiously with water for several minutes. Remove contact lenses, if present and easy to do. Continue rinsing.	
P337+P313	If eye irritation persists: Get medical advice/attention.	
P302+P352	IF ON SKIN: Wash with plenty of water.	
P332+P313	If skin irritation occurs: Get medical advice/attention.	

# Precautionary statement(s) Storage

Not Applicable

# Precautionary statement(s) Disposal

P501

Dispose of contents/container to authorised hazardous or special waste collection point in accordance with any local regulation

# **SECTION 3 COMPOSITION / INFORMATION ON INGREDIENTS**

#### Substances

See section below for composition of Mixtures

#### Mixtures

CAS No	%[weight]	Name
56-81-5	1-5	glycerol
1643-20-5	1-5	lauryldimethylamine oxide
9002-92-0	0.1-1	lauryl alcohol, ethoxylated
68476-85-7.	2-10	hydrocarbon propellant

#### **SECTION 4 FIRST AID MEASURES**

# Description of first aid measures

Eye Contact	<ul> <li>If aerosols come in contact with the eyes:</li> <li>Immediately hold the eyelids apart and flush the eye continuously for at least 15 minutes with fresh running water.</li> <li>Ensure complete irrigation of the eye by keeping eyelids apart and away from eye and moving the eyelids by occasionally lifting the upper and lower lids.</li> <li>Transport to hospital or doctor without delay.</li> <li>Removal of contact lenses after an eye injury should only be undertaken by skilled personnel.</li> </ul>
Skin Contact	If solids or aerosol mists are deposited upon the skin:  Flush skin and hair with running water (and soap if available).  Remove any adhering solids with industrial skin cleansing cream.  DO NOT use solvents.  Seek medical attention in the event of irritation.
Inhalation	If aerosols, fumes or combustion products are inhaled:  Remove to fresh air.  Lay patient down. Keep warm and rested.  Prostheses such as false teeth, which may block airway, should be removed, where possible, prior to initiating first aid procedures.  If breathing is shallow or has stopped, ensure clear airway and apply resuscitation, preferably with a demand valve resuscitator, bag-valve mask device, or pocket mask as trained. Perform CPR if necessary.  Transport to hospital, or doctor.
Ingestion	<ul> <li>If swallowed do NOT induce vomiting.</li> <li>If vomiting occurs, lean patient forward or place on left side (head-down position, if possible) to maintain open airway and prevent aspiration.</li> <li>Observe the patient carefully.</li> <li>Never give liquid to a person showing signs of being sleepy or with reduced awareness; i.e. becoming unconscious.</li> <li>Give water to rinse out mouth, then provide liquid slowly and as much as casualty can comfortably drink.</li> <li>Seek medical advice.</li> </ul>

# Indication of any immediate medical attention and special treatment needed

Treat symptomatically.

# **SECTION 5 FIREFIGHTING MEASURES**

# Extinguishing media

SMALL FIRE:

► Water spray, dry chemical or CO2

LARGE FIRE:

► Water spray or fog.

# Special hazards arising from the substrate or mixture

Fire Incompatibility

▶ Avoid contamination with oxidising agents i.e. nitrates, oxidising acids, chlorine bleaches, pool chlorine etc. as ignition may result

# Advice for firefighters

Fire Fighting

- ▶ Alert Fire Brigade and tell them location and nature of hazard.
- ► May be violently or explosively reactive.

Issue Date: 03/02/2020 Chemwatch: 5390-33 Page 3 of 13 Version No: 2.1.1.1 Print Date: 13/02/2020

# **XtraCare Styling Mousse**

	Wear breathing apparatus plus protective gloves.     Prevent, by any means available, spillage from entering drains or water course.     If safe, switch off electrical equipment until vapour fire hazard removed.     Use water delivered as a fine spray to control fire and cool adjacent area.     DO NOT approach containers suspected to be hot.     Cool fire exposed containers with water spray from a protected location.     If safe to do so, remove containers from path of fire.     Equipment should be thoroughly decontaminated after use.
Fire/Explosion Hazard	<ul> <li>Non combustible.</li> <li>Not considered to be a significant fire risk.</li> <li>Heating may cause expansion or decomposition leading to violent rupture of containers.</li> <li>Aerosol cans may explode on exposure to naked flames.</li> <li>Rupturing containers may rocket and scatter burning materials.</li> <li>Hazards may not be restricted to pressure effects.</li> <li>May emit acrid, poisonous or corrosive fumes.</li> <li>Decomposes on heating and may emit toxic fumes of carbon monoxide (CO).</li> <li>Decomposition may produce toxic fumes of: carbon dioxide (CO2) nitrogen oxides (NOx) other pyrolysis products typical of burning organic material.</li> </ul>
HAZCHEM	Not Applicable

# **SECTION 6 ACCIDENTAL RELEASE MEASURES**

# Personal precautions, protective equipment and emergency procedures

See section 8

#### **Environmental precautions**

See section 12

# Methods and material for containment and cleaning up

Minor Spills	<ul> <li>Clean up all spills immediately.</li> <li>Avoid breathing vapours and contact with skin and eyes.</li> <li>Wear protective clothing, impervious gloves and safety glasses.</li> <li>Shut off all possible sources of ignition and increase ventilation.</li> <li>Wipe up.</li> <li>If safe, damaged cans should be placed in a container outdoors, away from all ignition sources, until pressure has dissipated.</li> <li>Undamaged cans should be gathered and stowed safely.</li> </ul>
Major Spills	<ul> <li>Clear area of personnel and move upwind.</li> <li>Alert Fire Brigade and tell them location and nature of hazard.</li> <li>May be violently or explosively reactive.</li> <li>Wear breathing apparatus plus protective gloves.</li> <li>Prevent, by any means available, spillage from entering drains or water courses</li> <li>No smoking, naked lights or ignition sources.</li> <li>Increase ventilation.</li> <li>Stop leak if safe to do so.</li> <li>Water spray or fog may be used to disperse / absorb vapour.</li> <li>Absorb or cover spill with sand, earth, inert materials or vermiculite.</li> <li>If safe, damaged cans should be placed in a container outdoors, away from ignition sources, until pressure has dissipated.</li> <li>Undamaged cans should be gathered and stowed safely.</li> <li>Collect residues and seal in labelled drums for disposal.</li> </ul>

Personal Protective Equipment advice is contained in Section 8 of the SDS.

# **SECTION 7 HANDLING AND STORAGE**

Safe handling	<ul> <li>Avoid all personal contact, including inhalation.</li> <li>Wear protective clothing when risk of exposure occurs.</li> <li>Use in a well-ventilated area.</li> <li>Prevent concentration in hollows and sumps.</li> <li>DO NOT enter confined spaces until atmosphere has been checked.</li> <li>Avoid smoking, naked lights or ignition sources.</li> <li>Avoid contact with incompatible materials.</li> <li>When handling, DO NOT eat, drink or smoke.</li> <li>DO NOT incinerate or puncture aerosol cans.</li> <li>DO NOT spray directly on humans, exposed food or food utensils.</li> <li>Avoid physical damage to containers.</li> <li>Always wash hands with soap and water after handling.</li> <li>Work clothes should be laundered separately.</li> <li>Use good occupational work practice.</li> <li>Observe manufacturer's storage and handling recommendations contained within this SDS.</li> <li>Atmosphere should be regularly checked against established exposure standards to ensure safe working conditions are maintained.</li> </ul>
Other information	► Keep dry to avoid corrosion of cans. Corrosion may result in container perforation and internal pressure may eject contents of can

Suitable container	Aerosol dispenser.     Check that containers are clearly labelled.
Storage incompatibility	► Avoid reaction with oxidising agents

Issue Date: **03/02/2020**Print Date: **13/02/2020** 

#### SECTION 8 EXPOSURE CONTROLS / PERSONAL PROTECTION

#### **Control parameters**

#### OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMITS (OEL)

#### INGREDIENT DATA

Source	Ingredient	Material name	TWA	STEL	Peak	Notes
Australia Exposure Standards	glycerol	Glycerin mist	10 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available	(a) This value is for inhalable dust containing no asbestos and < 1% crystalline silica.
Australia Exposure Standards	hydrocarbon propellant	LPG (liquified petroleum gas)	1000 ppm / 1800 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available

#### **EMERGENCY LIMITS**

Ingredient	Material name	TEEL-1	TEEL-2	TEEL-3
glycerol	Glycerine (mist); (Glycerol; Glycerin)	45 mg/m3	860 mg/m3	2,500 mg/m3
lauryl alcohol, ethoxylated	Brij-35; (alpha-Dodecyl-omega-hydroxypoly(oxyethylene))	2.9 mg/m3	31 mg/m3	200 mg/m3
hydrocarbon propellant	Liquified petroleum gas; (L.P.G.)	65,000 ppm	2.30E+05 ppm	4.00E+05 ppm

Ingredient	Original IDLH	Revised IDLH
glycerol	Not Available	Not Available
lauryldimethylamine oxide	Not Available	Not Available
lauryl alcohol, ethoxylated	Not Available	Not Available
hydrocarbon propellant	2,000 ppm	Not Available

#### OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE BANDING

Ingredient	Occupational Exposure Band Rating	Occupational Exposure Band Limit	
lauryldimethylamine oxide	С	> 0.1 to ≤ milligrams per cubic meter of air (mg/m³)	
lauryl alcohol, ethoxylated	Е	≤ 0.1 ppm	
Notes:	Occupational exposure banding is a process of assigning chemicals into specific categories or bands based on a chemical's potency and the adverse health outcomes associated with exposure. The output of this process is an occupational exposure band (OEB), which corresponds to a range of exposure concentrations that are expected to protect worker health.		

#### MATERIAL DATA

NOTE K: The classification as a carcinogen need not apply if it can be shown that the substance contains less than 0.1%w/w 1,3-butadiene (EINECS No 203-450-8). - European Union (EU) List of harmonised classification and labelling hazardous substances, Table 3.1, Annex VI, Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP) - up to the latest ATP

#### **Exposure controls**

Engineering controls are used to remove a hazard or place a barrier between the worker and the hazard. Well-designed engineering controls can be highly effective in protecting workers and will typically be independent of worker interactions to provide this high level of protection. The basic types of engineering controls are:

Process controls which involve changing the way a job activity or process is done to reduce the risk.

Enclosure and/or isolation of emission source which keeps a selected hazard "physically" away from the worker and ventilation that strategically "adds" and "removes" air in the work environment. Ventilation can remove or dilute an air contaminant if designed properly. The design of a ventilation system must match the particular process and chemical or contaminant in use.

Employers may need to use multiple types of controls to prevent employee overexposure.

General exhaust is adequate under normal conditions. If risk of overexposure exists, wear SAA approved respirator. Correct fit is essential to obtain adequate protection.

Provide adequate ventilation in warehouse or closed storage areas.

Air contaminants generated in the workplace possess varying "escape" velocities which, in turn, determine the "capture velocities" of fresh circulating air required to effectively remove the contaminant.

# Appropriate engineering controls

Type of Contaminant:	Speed:
aerosols, (released at low velocity into zone of active generation)	0.5-1 m/s
direct spray, spray painting in shallow booths, gas discharge (active generation into zone of rapid air motion)	1-2.5 m/s (200-500 f/min.)

Within each range the appropriate value depends on:

Lower end of the range	Upper end of the range
1: Room air currents minimal or favourable to capture	1: Disturbing room air currents
2: Contaminants of low toxicity or of nuisance value only.	2: Contaminants of high toxicity
3: Intermittent, low production.	3: High production, heavy use
4: Large hood or large air mass in motion	4: Small hood-local control only

Simple theory shows that air velocity falls rapidly with distance away from the opening of a simple extraction pipe. Velocity generally decreases with the square of distance from the extraction point (in simple cases). Therefore the air speed at the extraction point should be adjusted, accordingly, after reference to distance from the contaminating source. The air velocity at the extraction fan, for example, should be a minimum of 1-2 m/s (200-400 f/min.) for extraction of solvents generated in a tank 2 meters distant from the extraction point. Other mechanical considerations, producing performance deficits within the extraction apparatus, make it essential that theoretical air velocities are multiplied by factors of 10 or more when extraction systems are installed or used.

Personal protection









Chemwatch: **5390-33**Version No: **2.1.1.1** 

# **XtraCare Styling Mousse**

Issue Date: **03/02/2020**Print Date: **13/02/2020** 

Eye and face protection	No special equipment for minor exposure i.e. when handling small quantities.  OTHERWISE: For potentially moderate or heavy exposures:  Safety glasses with side shields.  NOTE: Contact lenses pose a special hazard; soft lenses may absorb irritants and ALL lenses concentrate them.
Skin protection	See Hand protection below
Hands/feet protection	<ul> <li>No special equipment needed when handling small quantities.</li> <li>OTHERWISE:</li> <li>For potentially moderate exposures:</li> <li>Wear general protective gloves, eg. light weight rubber gloves.</li> <li>For potentially heavy exposures:</li> <li>Wear chemical protective gloves, eg. PVC. and safety footwear.</li> </ul>
Body protection	See Other protection below
Other protection	No special equipment needed when handling small quantities.  OTHERWISE:  Overalls.  Skin cleansing cream.  Eyewash unit.  Do not spray on hot surfaces.

# Recommended material(s)

#### GLOVE SELECTION INDEX

Glove selection is based on a modified presentation of the:

"Forsberg Clothing Performance Index".

The effect(s) of the following substance(s) are taken into account in the *computer-generated* selection:

XtraCare Styling Mousse

Material	СРІ
NATURAL RUBBER	A
NATURAL+NEOPRENE	A
NITRILE	A

- \* CPI Chemwatch Performance Index
- A: Best Selection
- B: Satisfactory; may degrade after 4 hours continuous immersion
- C: Poor to Dangerous Choice for other than short term immersion

**NOTE**: As a series of factors will influence the actual performance of the glove, a final selection must be based on detailed observation. -

\* Where the glove is to be used on a short term, casual or infrequent basis, factors such as "feel" or convenience (e.g. disposability), may dictate a choice of gloves which might otherwise be unsuitable following long-term or frequent use. A qualified practitioner should be consulted.

# Respiratory protection

Type KAX-P Filter of sufficient capacity. (AS/NZS 1716 & 1715, EN 143:2000 & 149:2001, ANSI Z88 or national equivalent)

Where the concentration of gas/particulates in the breathing zone, approaches or exceeds the "Exposure Standard" (or ES), respiratory protection is required. Degree of protection varies with both face-piece and Class of filter; the nature of protection varies with Type of filter.

Required Minimum Protection Factor	Half-Face Respirator	Full-Face Respirator	Powered Air Respirator
up to 10 x ES	KAX-AUS P2	-	KAX-PAPR-AUS / Class 1 P2
up to 50 x ES	-	KAX-AUS / Class 1 P2	-
up to 100 x ES	-	KAX-2 P2	KAX-PAPR-2 P2 ^

# ^ - Full-face

A(All classes) = Organic vapours, B AUS or B1 = Acid gasses, B2 = Acid gas or hydrogen cyanide(HCN), B3 = Acid gas or hydrogen cyanide(HCN), E = Sulfur dioxide(SO2), G = Agricultural chemicals, K = Ammonia(NH3), Hg = Mercury, NO = Oxides of nitrogen, MB = Methyl bromide, AX = Low boiling point organic compounds(below 65 degC)

Aerosols, in common with most vapours/ mists, should never be used in confined spaces without adequate ventilation. Aerosols, containing agents designed to enhance or mask smell, have triggered allergic reactions in predisposed individuals.

# **SECTION 9 PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES**

# Information on basic physical and chemical properties

Appearance	White liquid with fresh odour; partly mixes with water.		
111111111111111111111111111111111111111			
Physical state	Liquid	Relative density (Water = 1)	0.95
Odour	Not Available	Partition coefficient n-octanol / water	Not Available
Odour threshold	Not Available	Auto-ignition temperature (°C)	Not Applicable
pH (as supplied)	8	Decomposition temperature	Not Available
Melting point / freezing point (°C)	Not Available	Viscosity (cSt)	Not Available
Initial boiling point and boiling range (°C)	Not Available	Molecular weight (g/mol)	Not Applicable
Flash point (°C)	Not Applicable	Taste	Not Available
Evaporation rate	Not Available	Explosive properties	Not Available
Flammability	Not Applicable	Oxidising properties	Not Available
Upper Explosive Limit (%)	Not Applicable	Surface Tension (dyn/cm or mN/m)	Not Available
Lower Explosive Limit (%)	Not Applicable	Volatile Component (%vol)	Not Available
Vapour pressure (kPa)	Not Available	Gas group	Not Available
Solubility in water	Partly miscible	pH as a solution (1%)	Not Available
Vapour density (Air = 1)	Not Available	VOC g/L	Not Available

# **SECTION 10 STABILITY AND REACTIVITY**

**XtraCare Styling Mousse** 

Page 6 of 13 Issue Date: 03/02/2020 Print Date: 13/02/2020

Reactivity	See section 7
Chemical stability	<ul> <li>Elevated temperatures.</li> <li>Presence of open flame.</li> <li>Product is considered stable.</li> <li>Hazardous polymerisation will not occur.</li> </ul>
Possibility of hazardous reactions	See section 7
Conditions to avoid	See section 7
Incompatible materials	See section 7
Hazardous decomposition products	See section 5

material, especially for prolonged periods, may produce respiratory discomfort and occasionally, distress.

The material is not thought to produce respiratory irritation (as classified by EC Directives using animal models). Nevertheless inhalation, of the

# **SECTION 11 TOXICOLOGICAL INFORMATION**

# Information on toxicological effects

	WARNING:Intentional misuse by concentrating/inhaling contents may be lethal.			
Ingestion	Not normally a hazard due to physical form of product.			
Skin Contact	Evidence exists, or practical experience predicts, that the material either produces inflammation of the skin in a substantial number of individuals following direct contact, and/or produces significant inflammation when applied to the healthy intact skin of animals, for up to four hours, such inflammation being present twenty-four hours or more after the end of the exposure period. Skin irritation may also be present after prolonged or repeated exposure; this may result in a form of contact dermatitis (nonallergic). The dermatitis is often characterised by skin redness (erythema) and swelling (oedema) which may progress to blistering (vesiculation), scaling and thickening of the epidermis. At the microscopic level there may be intercellular oedema of the spongy layer of the skin (spongiosis) and intracellular oedema of the epidermis.  The material may accentuate any pre-existing dermatitis condition Open cuts, abraded or irritated skin should not be exposed to this material Entry into the blood-stream through, for example, cuts, abrasions, puncture wounds or lesions, may produce systemic injury with harmful effects.  Examine the skin prior to the use of the material and ensure that any external damage is suitably protected.			
Еуе	Evidence exists, or practical experience predicts, that the material may cause eye irritation in a substantial number of individuals and/or may produce significant ocular lesions which are present twenty-four hours or more after instillation into the eye(s) of experimental animals. Repeated or prolonged eye contact may cause inflammation characterised by temporary redness (similar to windburn) of the conjunctiva (conjunctivitis); temporary impairment of vision and/or other transient eye damage/ulceration may occur.			
Chronic	Limited evidence suggests that repeated or long-term occupational exposure may produce cumulative health effects involving organs or biochemical systems.  There exists limited evidence that shows that skin contact with the material is capable either of inducing a sensitisation reaction in a significant number of individuals, and/or of producing positive response in experimental animals.			
		1		
XtraCare Styling Mousse	TOXICITY	IRRITATION		
	Not Available	Not Available		
	TOXICITY	IRRITATION		
glycerol	Oral (rat) LD50: >10000 mg/kg <sup>[2]</sup>	Not Available		
	TOXICITY	IRRITATION		
lauryldimethylamine oxide	dermal (rat) LD50: >2000 mg/kg <sup>[1]</sup>	Eye (rabbit): 50 ug/24h - SEVERE		
	Oral (rat) LD50: >600 mg/kg <sup>[1]</sup>	Skin (rabbit): 2 mg/24h - SEVERE		
	TOXICITY	IRRITATION		
	dermal (rat) LD50: >2000 mg/kg <sup>[1]</sup>	Eye (rabbit): 0.75 mg/24h SEVERE		
	Oral (rat) LD50: 1000 mg/kg <sup>[2]</sup>	Eye (rabbit): 100 mg		
lauryl alcohol, ethoxylated		Eye: adverse effect observed (irritating) <sup>[1]</sup>		
		Skin (rabbit): 500 mg/24h mild		
		Skin (rabbit): 75 mg/24h mild		
		Skin: no adverse effect observed (not irritating) <sup>[1]</sup>		
	TOXICITY	IRRITATION		
hydrocarbon propellant	Not Available	Not Available		
Legend:	1 Value obtained from Europe ECHA Pegistered Subst	ances - Acute toxicity 2.* Value obtained from manufacturer's SDS. Unless otherwise		

#### For glycerol:

# GLYCEROL

Acute toxicity: Glycerol is of a low order of acute oral and dermal toxicity with LD50 values in excess of 4000 mg/kg bw. At very high dose levels, the signs of toxicity include tremor and hyperaemia of the gastro-intestinal -tract. Skin and eye irritation studies indicate that glycerol has low potential to irritate the skin and the eye. The available human and animal data, together with the very widespread potential for exposure and the absence of case reports of sensitisation, indicate that glycerol is not a skin sensitiser.

Repeat dose toxicity: Repeated oral exposure to glycerol does not induce adverse effects other than local irritation of the gastro-intestinal tract. The overall NOEL after prolonged treatment with glycerol is 10,000 mg/kg bw/day (20% in diet). At this dose level no systemic or local effects were observed. For inhalation exposure to aerosols, the NOAEC for local irritant effects to the upper respiratory tract is 165 mg/m3 and 662

Chemwatch: 5390-33 Page 7 of 13 Issue Date: 03/02/2020 Version No: 2.1.1.1

#### **XtraCare Styling Mousse**

Print Date: 13/02/2020

ma/m3 for systemic effects.

Genotoxicity: Glycerol is free from structural alerts, which raise concern for mutagenicity. Glycerol does not induce gene mutations in bacterial strains, chromosomal effects in mammalian cells or primary DNA damage in vitro. Results of a limited gene mutation test in mammalian cells were of uncertain biological relevance. In vivo, glycerol produced no statistically significant effect in a chromosome aberrations and dominant lethal study. However, the limited details provided and the absence of a positive control, prevent any reliable conclusions to be drawn from the in vivo data. Overall, glycerol is not considered to possess genotoxic potential.

Carcinogenicity: The experimental data from a limited 2 year dietary study in the rat does not provide any basis for concerns in relation to carcinogenicity. Data from non-guideline studies designed to investigate tumour promotion activity in male mice suggest that oral administration of glycerol up to 20 weeks had a weak promotion effect on the incidence of tumour formation.

Reproductive and developmental toxicity: No effects on fertility and reproductive performance were observed in a two generation study with glycerol administered by gavage (NOAEL 2000 mg/kg bw/day). No maternal toxicity or teratogenic effects were seen in the rat, mouse or rabbit at the highest dose levels tested in a guideline comparable teratogenicity study (NOEL 1180 mg/kg bw/day).

For amine oxides (AOs):

Substantial data exist for mammalian toxicity by in vitro and in vivo testing. Amine oxides are produced, and transported in aqueous solutions that are 25-35% concentration and most tests were conducted with aqueous solutions in that concentration range. Sometimes aqueous formulations were tested where the AO was at lesser concentrations than 25-35%. Whatever concentration were tested, results are reported below for the active ingredient, amine oxide, in mg AO/kg bw for dermal and oral acute toxicity results and mg AO/kg bw/day for repeated dose studies. Toxicokinetic and metabolism studies indicate AOs are extensively metabolised and readily excreted after oral administration. Amine oxide was readily absorbed dermally by rats, mice and rabbits after 24 to 72 hours of exposure. After 8 hours of dermal exposure, humans absorbed <1%. Acute toxicity: In rat oral acute toxicity limit tests, no deaths occurred at single doses of 600 mg C10-16 AO/kg bw or less (for CAS No 70592-80-2). In multi-dose studies, acute oral LD50 values for rats ranged from 846 mg AO/kg bw to 3873 mg AO/kg bw (both values for CAS No 61788-90-7), with several other AOs having rat oral LD50s falling within this range. In single dose acute dermal toxicity limit tests, no deaths occurred at a dose of 520 mg AO/kg bw (CAS No 70592-80-2). This dose was equivalent to 2 mL/kg of a 30% formulation. There were no deaths observed in a rat acute inhalation study to aerosol droplets of a consumer product providing a dose of 0.016 mg AO/L. In a series of studies on rabbits, AOs of varying chain length showed consistent results and all

- were not irritating to the skin or eyes at low concentrations (1%),
- were moderately irritating at 5%, and
- ▶ more severely irritating when tested as produced (e.g., ~30% aqueous solutions).

In studies that included rinsing, eye irritation effects diminished with rinsing after 30 seconds of exposure and were slight with rinsing after 4 seconds of exposure. In Draize rabbit eye irritation tests using ~30% AO solutions, rabbits experienced severe to moderate irritation. (The maximum concentration of AO is 10% active in consumer products.) Accidental eve exposure in manufacturing employee incidents and consumer incidents established that eye irritation effects of exposure during manufacturing and use of products containing AO and other surfactants are moderate, transient and reversible

There is no indication of skin sensitisation for the AO category based on the available animal and human data.

Repeat dose toxicity: In four repeated-dose studies with rats and mice exposed to AO via oral and dermal routes (all with CAS No 70592-80-2), three dermal studies were designed to assess the effect of repeated exposure on skin at maximum doses of 1.5 mg AO/kg-bw/day. Higher doses were tested in a 90-day dietary study with rabbits. No treatment related clinical chemistry, hematology and histopathological changes were observed. In these studies, LOAELs ranged from 87 to 150 mg AO/kg bw/day with the highest oral NOAEL below the lowest LOAEL as 80 mg AO/kg bw/day. Signs of toxicity observed in the oral study included suppressed mean body weight gain, lenticular opacities and diarrhea; in the dermal studies, local dermal irritation was evident.

Genetic toxicity: In five in vitro bacterial (Salmonella) mutagenicity studies, AO shows no evidence of mutagenicity either with or without S9 metabolic activation at concentrations up to 250 ug/plate (higher concentrations caused cytotoxicity).

Three in vivo studies investigated clastogenic effects on a close structural analog of the category, 1-

(methyldodecyl)dimethylamine-N-oxide including: a mouse micronucleus, a Chinese hamster micronucleus and a Chinese hamster cytogenetics study. These studies were all negative showing no increase in micronuclei or chromosome aberrations. An in vivo mouse dominant lethal assay showed no evidence of heritable effects. Two AOs (CAS No 1643-20-5 and CAS No 3332-27-2) were negative in an in vitro cell transformation assay tested at concentrations up to 20 ug/ml.

Carcinogenicity: The carcinogenic potential of amine oxides has been thoroughly investigated in three carcinogenicity studies in rats or mice by dermal, dietary, or drinking water routes. In all cases the substances demonstrated no evidence of a carcinogenic response

Reproductive and developmental toxicity: No evidence of reproductive toxicity or fertility effects was observed in a study in which rats were given dietary doses of AO in the diet over two generations (CAS No 1643-20-5). No macroscopic or histopathological changes were attributable to treatment with the test substance. The maternal NOAEL from this reproductive study was >40 mg AO/kg bw/day, which was the highest dose tested. At all treatment levels, the rate of bodyweight gain for the F1 and F2 offspring was reduced during the lactation period, however, this reduction was not greater than 10%. This effect appeared to be dose-related, but was not statistically significant until after weaning in the mid and high dose levels. This was not considered an adverse effect since the body weight change only reached statistical significance when the rat pups were getting the majority of their calories from solid food (Developmental NOAEL >40 mg/kg bw/day).

In three developmental toxicity studies via gavage in rats and rabbits (with CAS No 1643-20-5 & 70592-80-2), effects such as decreased foetal weight or delayed ossification, were most often observed only at maternally toxic doses and were associated with the irritation effects of AO on the gastrointestinal tract. No decreases in litter size, no changes in litter parameters, no malformations or significant differences in skeletal defects were observed at oral doses up to 25 mg/kg bw/day in rats (based on decreased foetal weight at 100 mg/kg bw/day) and >160 mg/kg bw/day in rabbits (the highest dose tested).

The material may produce severe skin irritation after prolonged or repeated exposure, and may produce a contact dermatitis (nonallergic). This form of dermatitis is often characterised by skin redness (erythema) thickening of the epidermis

Histologically there may be intercellular oedema of the spongy layer (spongiosis) and intracellular oedema of the epidermis. Prolonged contact is unlikely, given the severity of response, but repeated exposures may produce severe ulceration.

Polyethers, for example, ethoxylated surfactants and polyethylene glycols, are highly susceptible towards air oxidation as the ether oxygens will stabilize intermediary radicals involved. Investigations of a chemically well-defined alcohol (pentaethylene glycol mono-n-dodecyl ether) ethoxylate, showed that polyethers form complex mixtures of oxidation products when exposed to air.

Sensitization studies in guinea pigs revealed that the pure nonoxidized surfactant itself is nonsensitizing but that many of the investigated oxidation products are sensitizers. Two hydroperoxides were identified in the oxidation mixture, but only one (16-hydroperoxy-3,6,9,12,15pentaoxaheptacosan-1-ol ) was stable enough to be isolated. It was found to be a strong sensitizer in LLNA (local lymph node assay for detection of sensitization capacity). The formation of other hydroperoxides was indicated by the detection of their corresponding aldehydes in the oxidation mixture

On the basis of the lower irritancy, nonionic surfactants are often preferred to ionic surfactants in topical products. However, their susceptibility towards autoxidation also increases the irritation. Because of their irritating effect, it is difficult

to diagnose ACD to these compounds by patch testing.

Allergic Contact Dermatitis—Formation, Structural Requirements, and Reactivity of Skin Sensitizers.

Ann-Therese Karlberg et al: Chem. Res. Toxicol.2008.21.53-69

Polyethylene glycols (PEGs) have a wide variety of PEG-derived mixtures due to their readily linkable terminal primary hydroxyl groups in combination with many possible compounds and complexes such as ethers, fatty acids, castor oils, amines, propylene glycols, among other derivatives. PEGs and their derivatives are broadly utilized in cosmetic products as surfactants, emulsifiers, cleansing agents, humectants, and skin conditioners

PEGs and PEG derivatives were generally regulated as safe for use in cosmetics, with the conditions that impurities and by-products, such as ethylene oxides and 1,4-dioxane, which are known carcinogenic materials, should be removed before they are mixed in cosmetic formulations. Most PEGs are commonly available commercially as mixtures of different oligomer sizes in broadly- or narrowly-defined molecular weight (MW) ranges. For instance, PEG-10,000 typically designates a mixture of PEG molecules (n = 195 to 265) having an average MW of 10,000. PEG is also known as polyethylene oxide (PEO) or polyoxyethylene (POE), with the three names being chemical synonyms. However, PEGs mainly

#### LAURYLDIMETHYLAMINE OXIDE

LAURYL ALCOHOL **ETHOXYLATED** 

#### **XtraCare Styling Mousse**

refer to oligomers and polymers with molecular masses below 20,000 g/mol, while PEOs are polymers with molecular masses above 20,000 g/mol, and POEs are polymers of any molecular mass. Relatively small molecular weight PEGs are produced by the chemical reaction between ethylene oxide and water or ethylene glycol (or other ethylene glycol oligomers), as catalyzed by acidic or basic catalysts. To produce PEO or high-molecular weight PEGs, synthesis is performed by suspension polymerization. It is necessary to hold the growing polymer chain in solution during the course of the poly-condensation process. The reaction is catalyzed by magnesium-, aluminum-, or calcium-organoelement compounds. To prevent coagulation of polymer chains in the solution, chelating additives such as dimethylglyoxime are used Safety Evaluation of Polyethyene Glycol (PEG) Compounds for Cosmetic Use: Toxicol Res 2015; 31:105-136 The Korean Society of Toxicology http://doi.org/10.5487/TR.2015.31.2.105

Human beings have regular contact with alcohol ethoxylates through a variety of industrial and consumer products such as soaps, detergents, and other cleaning products. Exposure to these chemicals can occur through ingestion, inhalation, or contact with the skin or eyes. Studies of acute toxicity show that volumes well above a reasonable intake level would have to occur to produce any toxic response. Moreover, no fatal case of poisoning with alcohol ethoxylates has ever been reported. Multiple studies investigating the acute toxicity of alcohol ethoxylates have shown that the use of these compounds is of low concern in terms of oral and dermal toxicity.

Clinical animal studies indicate these chemicals may produce gastrointestinal irritation such as ulcerations of the stomach, pilo-erection, diarrhea, and lethargy. Similarly, slight to severe irritation of the skin or eye was generated when undiluted alcohol ethoxylates were applied to the skin and eyes of rabbits and rats. The chemical shows no indication of being a genotoxin, carcinogen, or mutagen (HERA 2007). No information was available on levels at which these effects might occur, though toxicity is thought to be substantially lower than that of nonylphenol ethoxylates. Polyethers, for example, ethoxylated surfactants and polyethylene glycols, are highly susceptible towards air oxidation as the ether oxygens will stabilize intermediary radicals involved. Investigations of a chemically well-defined alcohol (pentaethylene glycol mono-n-dodecyl ether) ethoxylate, showed that polyethers form complex mixtures of oxidation products when exposed to air.

Sensitization studies in guinea pigs revealed that the pure nonoxidized surfactant itself is nonsensitizing but that many of the investigated oxidation products are sensitizers. Two hydroperoxides were identified in the oxidation mixture, but only one (16-hydroperoxy-3,6,9,12,15-pentaoxaheptacosan-1-ol ) was stable enough to be isolated. It was found to be a strong sensitizer in LLNA (local lymph node assay for detection of sensitization capacity). The formation of other hydroperoxides was indicated by the detection of their corresponding aldehydes in the oxidation mixture.

On the basis of the lower irritancy, nonionic surfactants are often preferred to ionic surfactants in topical products. However, their susceptibility towards autoxidation also increases the irritation. Because of their irritating effect, it is difficult diagnose ACD to these compounds by patch testing.

Alcohol ethoxylates are according to CESIO (2000) classified as Irritant or Harmful depending on the number of EO-units:

EO < 5 gives Irritant (Xi) with R38 (Irritating to skin) and R41 (Risk of serious damage to eyes)

EO > 5-15 gives Harmful (Xn) with R22 (Harmful if swallowed) - R38/41

EO > 15-20 gives Harmful (Xn) with R22-41

>20 EO is not classified (CESIO 2000)

Oxo-AE, C13 EO10 and C13 EO15, are Irritating (Xi) with R36/38 (Irritating to eyes and skin) .

AE are not included in Annex 1 of the list of dangerous substances of the Council Directive 67/548/EEC

In general, alcohol ethoxylates (AE) are readily absorbed through the skin of guinea pigs and rats and through the gastrointestinal mucosa of rats. AE are quickly eliminated from the body through the urine, faeces, and expired air (CO2). Orally dosed AE was absorbed rapidly and extensively in rats, and more than 75% of the dose was absorbed. When applied to the skin of humans, the doses were absorbed slowly and incompletely (50% absorbed in 72 hours). Half of the absorbed surfactant was excreted promptly in the urine and smaller amounts of AE appeared in the faeces and expired air (CO2) ). The metabolism of C12 AE yields PEG, carboxylic acids, and CO2 as metabolites. The LD50 values after oral administration to rats range from about 1-15 g/kg body weight indicating a low to moderate acute toxicity.

The ability of nonionic surfactants to cause a swelling of the stratum corneum of guinea pig skin has been studied. The swelling mechanism of the skin involves a combination of ionic binding of the hydrophilic group as well as hydrophobic interactions of the alkyl chain with the substrate. One of the mechanisms of skin irritation caused by surfactants is considered to be denaturation of the proteins of skin. It has also been established that there is a connection between the potential of surfactants to denature protein in vitro and their effect on the skin. Nonionic surfactants do not carry any net charge and, therefore, they can only form hydrophobic bonds with proteins. For this reason, proteins are not deactivated by nonionic surfactants, and proteins with poor solubility are not solubilized by nonionic surfactants. A substantial amount of toxicological data and information in vivo and in vitro demonstrates that there is no evidence for alcohol ethoxylates (AEs) being genotoxic, mutagenic or carcinogenic. No adverse reproductive or developmental effects were observed. The majority of available toxicity studies revealed NOAELs in excess of 100 mg/kg bw/d but the lowest NOAEL for an individual AE was established to be 50 mg/kg bw/day. This value was subsequently considered as a conservative, representative value in the risk assessment of AE. The effects were restricted to changes in organ weights with no histopathological organ changes with the exception of liver hypertrophy (indicative of an adaptive response to metabolism rather than a toxic effect). It is noteworthy that there was practically no difference in the NOAEL in oral studies of 90-day or 2 years of duration in rats. A comparison of the aggregate consumer exposure and the systemic NOAEL (taking into account an oral absorption value of 75%) results in a Margin of Exposure of 5,800. Taking into account the conservatism in the exposure assessment and the assigned systemic NOAEL, this margin of exposure is considered more than adequate to account for the inherent uncertainty and variability of the hazard database and inter and intraspecies extrapolations.

AEs are not contact sensitisers. Neat AE are irritating to eyes and skin. The irritation potential of aqueous solutions of AEs depends on concentrations. Local dermal effects due to direct or indirect skin contact in certain use scenarios where the products are diluted are not of concern as AEs are not expected to be irritating to the skin at in-use concentrations. Potential irritation of the respiratory tract is not a concern given the very low levels of airborne AE generated as a consequence of spray cleaner aerosols or laundry powder detergent dust.

In summary, the human health risk assessment has demonstrated that the use of AE in household laundry and cleaning detergents is safe and does not cause concern with regard to consumer use.

For high boiling ethylene glycol ethers (typically triethylene- and tetraethylene glycol ethers):

Skin absorption: Available skin absorption data for triethylene glycol ether (TGBE), triethylene glycol methyl ether (TGME), and triethylene glycol ethylene ether (TGEE) suggest that the rate of absorption in skin of these three glycol ethers is 22 to 34 micrograms/cm2/hr, with the methyl ether having the highest permeation constant and the butyl ether having the lowest. The rates of absorption of TGBE, TGEE and TGME are at least 100-fold less than EGME, EGEE, and EGBE, their ethylene glycol monoalkyl ether counterparts, which have absorption rates that range from 214 to 2890 micrograms/ cm2/hr. Therefore, an increase in either the chain length of the alkyl substituent or the number of ethylene glycol moieties appears to lead to a decreased rate of percutaneous absorption. However, since the ratio of the change in values of the ethylene glycol to the diethylene glycol series is larger than that

of the diethylene glycol to triethylene glycol series, the effect of the length of the chain and number of ethylene glycol moieties on absorption diminishes with an increased number of ethylene glycol moieties. Therefore, although tetraethylene glycol methyl; ether (TetraME) and tetraethylene glycol butyl ether (TetraBE) are expected to be less permeable to skin than TGME and TGBE, the differences in permeation between these molecules may only be slight.

**Metabolism:** The main metabolic pathway for metabolism of ethylene glycol monoalkyl ethers (EGME, EGEE, and EGBE) is oxidation via alcohol and aldehyde dehydrogenases (ALD/ADH) that leads to the formation of an alkoxy acids. Alkoxy acids are the only toxicologically significant metabolites of glycol ethers that have been detected *in vivo*. The principal metabolite of TGME is believed to be 2-[2-(2-methoxyethoxy) ethoxy] acetic acid. Although ethylene glycol, a known kidney toxicant, has been identified as an impurity or a minor metabolite of glycol ethers in animal studies it does not appear to contribute to the toxicity of glycol ethers.

The metabolites of category members are not likely to be metabolized to any large extent to toxic molecules such as ethylene glycol or the mono alkoxy acids because metabolic breakdown of the ether linkages also has to occur

Acute toxicity: Category members generally display low acute toxicity by the oral, inhalation and dermal routes of exposure. Signs of toxicity in animals receiving lethal oral doses of TGBE included loss of righting reflex and flaccid muscle tone, coma, and heavy breathing. Animals

Chemwatch: 5390-33 Page 9 of 13 Issue Date: 03/02/2020 Version No: 2.1.1.1 Print Date: 13/02/2020

#### **XtraCare Styling Mousse**

Irritation: The data indicate that the glycol ethers may cause mild to moderate skin irritation. TGEE and TGBE are highly irritating to the eyes. Other category members show low eye irritation.

Repeat dose toxicity: Results of these studies suggest that repeated exposure to moderate to high doses of the glycol ethers in this category is required to produce systemic toxicity

administered lethal oral doses of TGEE exhibited lethargy, ataxia, blood in the urogenital area and piloerection before death.

In a 21-day dermal study, TGME, TGEE, and TGBE were administered to rabbits at 1,000 mg/kg/day. Erythema and oedema were observed. In addition, testicular degeneration (scored as trace in severity) was observed in one rabbit given TGEE and one rabbit given TGME. Testicular effects included spermatid giant cells, focal tubular hypospermatogenesis, and increased cytoplasmic vacuolisation . Due to a high incidence of similar spontaneous changes

in normal New Zealand White rabbits , the testicular effects were considered not to be related to treatment . Thus, the NOAELs for TGME, TGEE and TGBE were established at 1000 mg/kg/day. Findings from this report were considered unremarkable.

A 2-week dermal study was conducted in rats administered TGME at doses of 1.000, 2.500, and 4.000 mg/kg/day. In this study, significantlyincreased red blood cells at 4,000 mg/kg/day and significantly-increased urea concentrations in the urine at 2,500 mg/kg/day were observed. A few of the rats given 2,500 or 4,000 mg/kg/day had watery caecal contents and/or

haemolysed blood in the stomach These gross pathologic observations were not associated with any histologic abnormalities in these tissues or alterations in haematologic and clinical chemistry parameters. A few males and females treated with either 1,000 or 2,500 mg/kg/day had a few small scabs or crusts at the test site. These alterations were slight in degree and did not adversely affect the rats

In a 13-week drinking water study, TGME was administered to rats at doses of 400, 1,200, and 4,000 mg/kg/day. Statistically-significant changes in relative liver weight were observed at 1,200 mg/kg/day and higher. Histopathological effects included hepatocellular cytoplasmic vacuolisation (minimal to mild in most animals) and hypertrophy (minimal to mild) in males at all doses and hepatocellular hypertrophy (minimal to mild) in high dose females. These effects were statistically significant at 4,000 mg/kg/day. Cholangiofibrosis was observed in 7/15 high-dose males; this effect was observed in a small number of bile ducts and was of mild severity. Significant, small decreases in total test session motor activity were observed in the high-dose animals, but no other neurological effects were observed. The changes in motor activity were secondary to systemic toxicity

Mutagenicity: Mutagenicity studies have been conducted for several category members. All in vitro and in vivo studies were negative at concentrations up to 5,000 micrograms/plate and 5,000 mg/kg, respectively, indicating that the category members are not genotoxic at the concentrations used in these studies. The uniformly negative outcomes of various mutagenicity studies performed on category members lessen the concern for carcinogenicity.

Reproductive toxicity: Although mating studies with either the category members or surrogates have not been performed, several of the repeated dose toxicity tests with the surrogates have included examination of reproductive organs. A lower molecular weight glycol ether, ethylene glycol methyl ether (EGME), has been shown to be a testicular toxicant. In addition, results of repeated dose toxicity tests with TGME clearly show testicular toxicity at an oral dose of 4,000 mg/kg/day four times greater that the limit dose of 1,000 mg/kg/day recommended for repeat dose studies. It should be noted that TGME is 350 times less potent for testicular effects than EGME. TGBE is not associated with testicular toxicity, TetraME is not likely to be metabolised by any large extent to 2-MAA (the toxic metabolite of EGME), and a mixture containing predominantly methylated glycol ethers in the C5-C11 range does not produce testicular toxicity (even when administered intravenously at 1,000 mg/kg/day).

Developmental toxicity: The bulk of the evidence shows that effects on the foetus are not noted in treatments with . 1,000 mg/kg/day during gestation. At 1,250 to 1,650 mg/kg/day TGME (in the rat) and 1,500 mg/kg/day (in the rabbit), the developmental effects observed included skeletal variants and decreased body weight gain.

The material may cause skin irritation after prolonged or repeated exposure and may produce a contact dermatitis (nonallergic). This form of dermatitis is often characterised by skin redness (erythema) and swelling the epidermis. Histologically there may be intercellular oedema of the spongy layer (spongiosis) and intracellular oedema of the epidermis.

No significant acute toxicological data identified in literature search

for Petroleum Hydrocarbon Gases:

In many cases, there is more than one potentially toxic constituent in a refinery gas. In those cases, the constituent that is most toxic for a particular endpoint in an individual refinery stream is used to characterize the endpoint hazard for that stream. The hazard potential for each mammalian endpoint for each of the petroleum hydrocarbon gases is dependent upon each petroleum hydrocarbon gas constituent endpoint toxicity values (LC50, LOAEL, etc.) and the relative concentration of the constituent present in that gas. It should also be noted that for an individual petroleum hydrocarbon gas, the constituent characterizing toxicity may be different for different mammalian endpoints, again, being dependent upon the concentration of the different constituents in each, distinct petroleum hydrocarbon gas.

All Hydrocarbon Gases Category members contain primarily hydrocarbons (i.e., alkanes and alkenes) and occasionally asphyxiant gases like hydrogen. The inorganic components of the petroleum hydrocarbon gases are less toxic than the C1 - C4 and C5 - C6 hydrocarbon components to both mammalian and aquatic organisms. Unlike other petroleum product categories (e.g. gasoline, diesel fuel, lubricating oils, etc.), the inorganic and hydrocarbon constituents of hydrocarbon gases can be evaluated for hazard individually to then predict the screening level hazard of the Category members

Acute toxicity: No acute toxicity LC50 values have been derived for the C1 -C4 and C5- C6 hydrocarbon (HC) fractions because no mortality was observed at the highest exposure levels tested (~ 5 mg/l) for these petroleum hydrocarbon gas constituents. The order of acute toxicity of petroleum hydrocarbon gas constituents from most to least toxic is:

C5-C6 HCs (LC50 > 1063 ppm) > C1-C4 HCs (LC50 > 10,000 ppm) > benzene (LC50 = 13,700 ppm) > butadiene (LC50 = 129,000 ppm) > asphyxiant gases (hydrogen, carbon dioxide, nitrogen).

# **PROPELLANT**

Repeat dose toxicity: With the exception of the asphyxiant gases, repeated dose toxicity has been observed in individual selected petroleum hydrocarbon gas constituents. Based upon LOAEL values, the order of order of repeated-dose toxicity of these constituents from most toxic to the least toxic is:

Benzene (LOAEL .>=10 ppm) >C1-C4 HCs (LOAEL = 5,000 ppm; assumed to be 100% 2-butene) > C5-C6 HCs (LOAEL = 6,625 ppm) > butadiene (LOAEL = 8,000 ppm) > asphyxiant gases (hydrogen, carbon dioxide, nitrogen).

In vitro: The majority of the Petroleum Hydrocarbon Gases Category components are negative for in vitro genotoxicity. The exceptions are: benzene and 1,3-butadiene, which are genotoxic in bacterial and mammalian in vitro test systems.

In vivo: The majority of the Petroleum Hydrocarbon Gases Category components are negative for in vivo genotoxicity. The exceptions are benzene and 1,3-butadiene, which are genotoxic in in vivo test systems

Developmental toxicity: Developmental effects were induced by two of the petroleum hydrocarbon gas constituents, benzene and the C5 -C6 hydrocarbon fraction. No developmental toxicity was observed at the highest exposure levels tested for the other petroleum hydrocarbon gas constituents tested for this effect. The asphyxiant gases have not been tested for developmental toxicity. Based on LOAEL and NOAEL values, the order of acute toxicity of these constituents from most to least toxic is:

Benzene (LOAEL = 20 ppm) > butadiene (NOAEL .>=1,000 ppm) > C5-C6 HCs (LOAEL = 3,463 ppm) > C1-C4 HCs (NOAEL >=5,000 ppm; assumed to be 100% 2-butene) > asphyxiant gases (hydrogen, carbon dioxide, nitrogen).

Reproductive toxicity: Reproductive effects were induced by only two petroleum hydrocarbon gas constituents, benzene and isobutane (a constituent of the the C1-C4 hydrocarbon fraction). No reproductive toxicity was observed at the highest exposure levels tested for the other petroleum hydrocarbon gas constituents tested for this effect. The asphyxiant gases have not been tested for reproductive toxicity. Based on LOAEL and NOAEL values, the order of reproductive toxicity of these constituents from most to least toxic is:

Benzene (LOAEL = 300 ppm) > butadiene (NOAEL .>=6,000 ppm) > C5-C6 HCs (NOAEL .>=6,521 ppm) > C1-C4 HCs (LOAEL = 9,000 ppm; assumed to be 100% isobutane) > asphyxiant gases (hydrogen, carbon dioxide, nitrogen)

#### **GLYCEROL &** LAURYLDIMETHYLAMINE **OXIDE & LAURYL ALCOHOL, ETHOXYLATED**

Asthma-like symptoms may continue for months or even years after exposure to the material ceases. This may be due to a non-allergenic condition known as reactive airways dysfunction syndrome (RADS) which can occur following exposure to high levels of highly irritating compound. Key criteria for the diagnosis of RADS include the absence of preceding respiratory disease, in a non-atopic individual, with abrupt

Continued...

# **HYDROCARBON**

Version No: 2.1.1.1 **XtraCare Styling Mousse** 

Issue Date: 03/02/2020 Print Date: 13/02/2020

onset of persistent asthma-like symptoms within minutes to hours of a documented exposure to the irritant. A reversible airflow pattern, on spirometry, with the presence of moderate to severe bronchial hyperreactivity on methacholine challenge testing and the lack of minimal lymphocytic inflammation, without eosinophilia, have also been included in the criteria for diagnosis of RADS. RADS (or asthma) following an irritating inhalation is an infrequent disorder with rates related to the concentration of and duration of exposure to the irritating substance. Industrial bronchitis, on the other hand, is a disorder that occurs as result of exposure due to high concentrations of irritating substance (often particulate in nature) and is completely reversible after exposure ceases. The disorder is characterised by dyspnea, cough and mucus production

#### LAURYLDIMETHYLAMINE OXIDE & LAURYL ALCOHOL, **ETHOXYLATED**

The material may produce severe irritation to the eye causing pronounced inflammation. Repeated or prolonged exposure to irritants may produce conjunctivitis.

Acute Toxicity	×	Carcinogenicity	X
Skin Irritation/Corrosion	✓	Reproductivity	X
Serious Eye Damage/Irritation	✓	STOT - Single Exposure	X
Respiratory or Skin sensitisation	×	STOT - Repeated Exposure	×
Mutagenicity	×	Aspiration Hazard	X

Legend:

🗶 – Data either not available or does not fill the criteria for classification

— Data available to make classification

#### **SECTION 12 ECOLOGICAL INFORMATION**

#### Toxicity

	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURCE
XtraCare Styling Mousse	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURCE
glycerol	LC50	96	Fish	>0.011-mg/L	2
	EC50	96	Algae or other aquatic plants	77712.039mg/L	3
	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURC
	LC50	96	Fish	1.235mg/L	3
In an I Provide to the contract to	EC50	48	Crustacea	Crustacea 2.9mg/L	
lauryldimethylamine oxide	EC50	72	Algae or other aquatic plants	Algae or other aquatic plants 0.015mg/L	
	EC10	72	Algae or other aquatic plants	Algae or other aquatic plants 0.002mg/L	
	NOEC	72	Algae or other aquatic plants	0.003mg/L	2
	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURC
	LC50	96	Fish	1.5mg/L	4
lauryl alcohol, ethoxylated	EC50	72	Algae or other aquatic plants	2.06mg/L	2
	BCF	72	Fish	1mg/L	4
	NOEC	504	Crustacea	0.24mg/L	5
	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURC
	LC50	96	Fish	24.11mg/L	2
hydrocarbon propellant	EC50	96	Algae or other aquatic plants	7.71mg/L	2
	LC50	96	Fish	24.11mg/L	2
	EC50	96	Algae or other aquatic plants	7.71mg/L	2

Legend:

Extracted from 1. IUCLID Toxicity Data 2. Europe ECHA Registered Substances - Ecotoxicological Information - Aquatic Toxicity 3. EPIWIN Suite V3.12 (QSAR) - Aquatic Toxicity Data (Estimated) 4. US EPA, Ecotox database - Aquatic Toxicity Data 5. ECETOC Aquatic Hazard Assessment Data 6. NITE (Japan) - Bioconcentration Data 7. METI (Japan) - Bioconcentration Data 8. Vendor Data

Toxic to aquatic organisms.

DO NOT discharge into sewer or waterways.

#### Persistence and degradability

Ingredient	Persistence: Water/Soil	Persistence: Air
glycerol	LOW	LOW
lauryldimethylamine oxide	LOW	LOW
lauryl alcohol, ethoxylated	LOW	LOW

# **Bioaccumulative potential**

Ingredient	Bioaccumulation
glycerol	LOW (LogKOW = -1.76)
lauryldimethylamine oxide	HIGH (LogKOW = 4.673)

Page 11 of 13

**XtraCare Styling Mousse** 

Issue Date: **03/02/2020**Print Date: **13/02/2020** 

lauryl alcohol, ethoxylated LOW (LogKOW = 3.6722)

# Mobility in soil

Version No: 2.1.1.1

Ingredient	Mobility
glycerol	HIGH (KOC = 1)
lauryldimethylamine oxide	LOW (KOC = 18660)
lauryl alcohol, ethoxylated	LOW (KOC = 10)

# **SECTION 13 DISPOSAL CONSIDERATIONS**

#### Waste treatment methods

- ▶ DO NOT allow wash water from cleaning or process equipment to enter drains.
- ▶ It may be necessary to collect all wash water for treatment before disposal.
- ▶ In all cases disposal to sewer may be subject to local laws and regulations and these should be considered first.

# Product / Packaging disposal

- ▶ Where in doubt contact the responsible authority.
- ► Consult State Land Waste Management Authority for disposal.
- Discharge contents of damaged aerosol cans at an approved site.
   Allow small quantities to evaporate.
- ► DO NOT incinerate or puncture aerosol cans.
- ▶ Bury residues and emptied aerosol cans at an approved site.

#### **SECTION 14 TRANSPORT INFORMATION**

#### **Labels Required**



Marine Pollutant NO

HAZCHEM Not Applicable

# Land transport (ADG)

UN number	1950
UN proper shipping name	AEROSOLS
Transport hazard class(es)	Class 2.2 Subrisk Not Applicable
Packing group	Not Applicable
Environmental hazard	Not Applicable
Special precautions for user	Special provisions   63 190 277 327 344 381

# Air transport (ICAO-IATA / DGR)

UN number	1950			
UN proper shipping name	Aerosols, non-flammable	Aerosols, non-flammable		
Transport hazard class(es)	ICAO/IATA Class 2.2 ICAO / IATA Subrisk Not Applicable ERG Code 2L			
Packing group	Not Applicable			
Environmental hazard	Not Applicable			
Special precautions for user	Cargo Only Maximum Passenger and Cargo Passenger and Cargo Passenger and Cargo	· ·		

# Sea transport (IMDG-Code / GGVSee)

UN number

1950

Page **12** of **13** 

# XtraCare Styling Mousse

Issue Date: **03/02/2020**Print Date: **13/02/2020** 

UN proper shipping name	AEROSOLS
Transport hazard class(es)	IMDG Class 2.2  IMDG Subrisk Not Applicable
Packing group	Not Applicable
Environmental hazard	Not Applicable
Special precautions for user	EMS Number         F-D , S-U           Special provisions         63 190 277 327 344 381 959           Limited Quantities         1000 ml

#### Transport in bulk according to Annex II of MARPOL and the IBC code

Not Applicable

#### **SECTION 15 REGULATORY INFORMATION**

#### Safety, health and environmental regulations / legislation specific for the substance or mixture

#### GLYCEROL IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

Australia Exposure Standards
Australia Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS)
GESAMP/EHS Composite List - GESAMP Hazard Profiles

IMO IBC Code Chapter 17: Summary of minimum requirements
IMO IBC Code Chapter 18: List of products to which the Code does not apply
IMO MARPOL 73/78 (Annex II) - List of Other Liquid Substances

#### LAURYLDIMETHYLAMINE OXIDE IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

Australia Dangerous Goods Code (ADG Code) - Dangerous Goods List

Australia Dangerous Goods Code (ADG Code) - List of Emergency Action Codes

Australia Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS)

International Air Transport Association (IATA) Dangerous Goods Regulations
International Maritime Dangerous Goods Requirements (IMDG Code)
United Nations Recommendations on the Transport of Dangerous Goods Model
Regulations

#### LAURYL ALCOHOL, ETHOXYLATED IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

Australia Dangerous Goods Code (ADG Code) - Dangerous Goods List

Australia Dangerous Goods Code (ADG Code) - List of Emergency Action Codes

Australia Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS)

Australia Standard for the Uniform Scheduling of Medicines and Poisons (SUSMP) -

Schedule 2 Australia Standard for the Uniform Scheduling of Medicines and Poisons (SUSMP) -Schedule 3 Schedule 4
International Air Transport Association (IATA) Dangerous Goods Regulations
International Maritime Dangerous Goods Requirements (IMDG Code)
United Nations Recommendations on the Transport of Dangerous Goods Model
Regulations

Australia Standard for the Uniform Scheduling of Medicines and Poisons (SUSMP) -

#### HYDROCARBON PROPELLANT IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

Australia Dangerous Goods Code (ADG Code) - Dangerous Goods List
Australia Dangerous Goods Code (ADG Code) - List of Emergency Action Codes
Australia Dangerous Goods Code (ADG Code) - Packing Instruction - Liquefied and
Dissolved Gases
Australia Exposure Standards

Australia Hazardous Chemical Information System (HCIS) - Hazardous Chemicals Australia Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS)

Australia Standard for the Uniform Scheduling of Medicines and Poisons (SUSMP) - Schedule 5

Chemical Footprint Project - Chemicals of High Concern List
International Air Transport Association (IATA) Dangerous Goods Regulations
International Maritime Dangerous Goods Requirements (IMDG Code)
United Nations Recommendations on the Transport of Dangerous Goods Model
Regulations

# **National Inventory Status**

National Inventory	Status
Australia - AICS	Yes
Canada - DSL	Yes
Canada - NDSL	No (lauryl alcohol, ethoxylated; glycerol; hydrocarbon propellant; lauryldimethylamine oxide)
China - IECSC	Yes
Europe - EINEC / ELINCS / NLP	Yes
Japan - ENCS	Yes
Korea - KECI	Yes
New Zealand - NZIoC	Yes
Philippines - PICCS	Yes
USA - TSCA	Yes
Taiwan - TCSI	Yes
Mexico - INSQ	No (lauryldimethylamine oxide)
Vietnam - NCI	Yes
Russia - ARIPS	Yes
Legend:	Yes = All CAS declared ingredients are on the inventory No = One or more of the CAS listed ingredients are not on the inventory and are not exempt from listing(see specific ingredients in brackets)

# **SECTION 16 OTHER INFORMATION**

Revision Date	03/02/2020	
Initial Date	03/02/2020	

Chemwatch: 5390-33 Page 13 of 13 Issue Date: 03/02/2020 Version No: 2.1.1.1 Print Date: 13/02/2020

# **XtraCare Styling Mousse**

**SDS Version Summary** 

Version	Issue Date	Sections Updated
2.1.1.1	03/02/2020	Fire Fighter (fire/explosion hazard), Use

#### Other information

Classification of the preparation and its individual components has drawn on official and authoritative sources as well as independent review by the Chemwatch Classification committee using available literature references.

The SDS is a Hazard Communication tool and should be used to assist in the Risk Assessment. Many factors determine whether the reported Hazards are Risks in the workplace or other settings. Risks may be determined by reference to Exposures Scenarios. Scale of use, frequency of use and current or available engineering controls must be considered.

#### **Definitions and abbreviations**

PC-TWA: Permissible Concentration-Time Weighted Average

 ${\sf PC-STEL} : {\sf Permissible\ Concentration-Short\ Term\ Exposure\ Limit}$ 

IARC: International Agency for Research on Cancer

ACGIH: American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists

STEL: Short Term Exposure Limit

TEEL: Temporary Emergency Exposure Limit。

IDLH: Immediately Dangerous to Life or Health Concentrations

OSF: Odour Safety Factor

NOAEL :No Observed Adverse Effect Level

LOAEL: Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level

TLV: Threshold Limit Value

LOD: Limit Of Detection

OTV: Odour Threshold Value

BCF: BioConcentration Factors

BEI: Biological Exposure Index

This document is copyright.

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of private study, research, review or criticism, as permitted under the Copyright Act, no part may be reproduced by any process without written permission from CHEMWATCH.

TEL (+61 3) 9572 4700.